

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
TENNESSEE

OLYMPIC STEAKHOUSE)
Plaintiffs)
v.) No.: 1:23-cv-02191-JDB-jay
WESTERN WORLD INSURANCE GROUP,
STEVE NASH AND J & S HELD LLC)
Defendants)

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO REMAND AND FOR ATTORNEY
FEES AND EXPENSES**

I. INTRODUCTION

This civil action arises out of an insurance coverage dispute. The parties are plainly non-diverse and thus the removal is objectively unreasonable.

II. RELEVANT FACTS

Western World forthrightly admits that JS Held¹ [and Steve Nash] are non-diverse.

III. ANALYSIS

1. Relevant Statutory Provisions

Tenn. Code Ann. § 56-53-103 provides in relevant part that:

Any person who commits, participates in, or aids, abets, or conspires to commit, or solicits another person to commit, or permits its employees or its agents to commit any of the following acts with an intent to induce reliance, has committed an unlawful insurance act:

¹ An alias summons will be issued to properly join them and destroy diversity based on the following.

a. Presents, causes to be presented, or prepares with knowledge or belief that it will be presented, to an insurance professionalin connection with an insurance transaction any information that the person knows to contain false representations, or representations the falsity of which the person has recklessly disregarded, as to any material fact, or that withholds or conceals a material fact, concerning any of the following:

.....

i.

ii. A claim for payment or benefit pursuant to any insurance policy;

iii. Payments made in accordance with the terms of any insurance policy;
or

.....

2. It shall be unlawful for any person to commit, or to attempt to commit, or aid, assist, abet or solicit another to commit, or to conspire to commit an unlawful insurance act.

Tenn. Code Ann. § 56-53-101 provides in relevant part that:

4.“Insurance professional” meansadjusters.....

5. “Insurance transaction” means a transaction by, between or among:

a. An insurer or a person who acts on behalf of an insurer; and

- b. An insured, claimant, applicant for insurance, public adjuster, insurance professional, practitioner, or any person who acts on behalf of an insured, claimant, applicant for insurance, public adjuster, insurance professional, or practitioner; for the purpose negotiating or adjusting a claim.....
8. “Person” means a natural person, company, corporation, unincorporated association, partnership, professional corporation, agency of government and any other entity;

2. Tennessee Statutory Construction Principles

Clear and unambiguous statutes will be enforced according to their clear terms. *Lind v. Beaman Lodge, Inc.*, 356 S.W.3d 889, 895 (Tenn. 2011). Every word of the statute will be given effect. *Id.* New statutory provisions that do not repeal or over-rule pre-existing law by express terms or implication are cumulative. *Johnson v. Hopkins*, 432 S.W.3d 840, 848 (Tenn. 2013). The Courts presume the Legislature knew the law when it enacted statutory provisions. *Sneed v. City of Red Bank, Tennessee*, 459 S.W.3d 17, 23 (Tenn. 2014). Statutes involving the same subject matter are construed *in pari materia*. *Graham v. Caples*, 325 S.W.3d 578, 582 (Tenn. 2010). Specific statutes control over general statutes. *Id.* At 582. Judicial construction of statutes become part of the statute. *Blank v. Olsen*, 662 S.W. 2d 324, 326 (Tenn. 1983) and *Hill v. City of Germantown*, 31 S.W.3d 234, 239-240 (Tenn. 2000).

Remedial statutes provide a means or method where wrongs can be addressed and relief obtained. *In the Matter of MAH*, 142 S.W.3d 267, 273 (Tenn. 2004). Remedial legislation is construed liberally. *Ferguson v. Middle Tennessee State University*, 451 S.W.3d 375, 381 (Tenn. 2014).

In *Craighead v. Bluecross Blueshield of Tennessee*², 2008 WL 3069320 (M2007-01697-COA-R3-CV), Slip Op. at 3 (Tenn. Ct. App. July 1, 2008), the Tennessee Court of Appeals held that the unlawful insurance act applies to anyone who commits an unlawful insurance act. Its reach was not limited to insured's only. *Id.* In *Green, et al v. Mutual of Omaha*, 2:10-cv-2487, Slip Op at 25 (W.D. Tenn. January 13, 2011)³, this Court found that the Unlawful insurance act applied to insurers.

3. Sixth Circuit Fraudulent Joinder Standard

In order to establish fraudulent joinder in particular, the removing defendants must show that there is no colorable cause of action against that party. *Chambers v. HSBC Bank, N.A.*, 796 F.3d 561, 564-566 (6th Cir. 2015). The question is whether there is arguably a reasonable basis for predicting that the state law might impose liability on the facts involved. *Id.* The removing party bears the burden of proof on removal and all doubts are resolved in favor of remand. *Eastman v. Marine Mech Corp.*, 438 F.3d 544, 549-550 (6th Cir. 2006).

4. Application to case at bar.

This Court is bound by *West* and should be persuaded by its own precedent that defendant cannot meet its burden to prove that Plaintiffs cannot colorably establish liability against Steve Nash or JS Held under the unlawful insurance act. Moreover, *Craighead* has become part of the statute consistent with *Blank* and *Hill*. Finally, § 56-53-103 is remedial legislation and liberally construed consistent with *Ferguson*.

² The Court is not free to ignore this opinion. *West v. American Telephone & Telegraph*, 311 US 223, 237 (1940).

³ Western World referenced this case in its Notice of Removal but fails to acknowledge Statutes involving the same subject matter are construed *in pari materia* consistent with *Graham*.

5. Western World removal was objectively unreasonable

In *Powers v. Cottrell, Inc.*, 758 F.3d 509, 520 (6th Cir. 2013), the Court held that when caselaw was clear that removal was not warranted, then the removing Defendant was subject to a payment of fees and expenses. Applying *Powers*, the caselaw was sufficiently clear that JS Holding was not fraudulently joined and should be warrant the payment of fees and expenses as objectively unreasonable.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Court should grant the Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand this civil action to state court and award the payment of fees and expenses.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Drayton D. Berkley
Drayton D. Berkley, Esq.
Berkley Law Firm, PLLC
attorneyberkley@gmail.com
Attorney for Plaintiff
P.O. Box 771048
Memphis, TN 38177

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned attorney, do hereby certify that the foregoing document has been served upon the following counsel of record or parties pro se in this cause **ONLY BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION** to the following:

JORDAN D. WATSON (BPR #038242)
CARR ALLISON
736 Market Street, Suite 1320
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402
Attorney for Defendant, Western World Insurance Group

This, the 3rd day of May 2023

/s/Drayton D. Berkley
Drayton D. Berkley